On the Hecke Eigenvalues of Maass Forms

Wenzhi Luo* and Fan Zhou

June 19, 2014

arXiv:1405.4937v2 [math.NT] 18 Jun 2014

Abstract

Let ϕ denote a primitive Hecke-Maass cusp form for $\Gamma_o(N)$ with the Laplacian eigenvalue $\lambda_\phi = 1/4 + t_\phi^2$. In this work we show that there exists a prime p such that $p \nmid N$, $|\alpha_p| = |\beta_p| = 1$, and $p \ll (N(1+|t_\phi|))^c$, where $\alpha_p,\ \beta_p$ are the Satake parameters of ϕ at p, and c is an absolute constant with $0 < c < 1$. In fact, c can be taken as 0.27332. In addition, we prove that the natural density of such primes p ($p \nmid N$ and $|\alpha_p| = |\beta_p| = 1$) is at least 34/35.

MSC: 11F30 (Primary) 11F41, 11F12 (Secondary)

1 Introduction

The celebrated Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture for an elliptic cuspidal Hecke eigenform f of weight $k \geq 2$ and level N asserts that for any prime $p \nmid N$,

$$|\lambda_f(p)| \leq 2p^{\frac{k-1}{2}},$$

where $\lambda_f(p)$ denotes the p-th Hecke eigenvalue of f. This conjecture has been solved affirmatively by Deligne in [De1] and [De2] as a consequence of his proof of the Weil conjectures.

Now let ϕ denote a primitive Hecke-Maass cusp form for $\Gamma_o(N)$ and Dirichlet character χ_ϕ with the Laplacian eigenvalue $\lambda_\phi = 1/4 + t_\phi^2$. Denote the n-th Hecke eigenvalue of ϕ by $\lambda_\phi(n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The generalized Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture predicts that for $p \nmid N$,

$$|\lambda_\phi(p)| \leq 2,$$

which is equivalent to (see the Lemma 1.1 below) $|\alpha_p| = |\beta_p| = 1$, where $\{\alpha_p,\ \beta_p\}$ are the Satake parameters of ϕ at p, i.e. the local component of ϕ at p is tempered. This is an outstanding unsolved problem in number theory, which would follow from the Langlands functoriality conjectures. Currently the record of individual bounds towards this conjecture is due to Kim-Sarnak [KS]

$$|\lambda_\phi(p)| \leq p^{\frac{7}{64}} + p^{-\frac{7}{64}},$$

*Research of W. Luo is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1160647.

a culmination of a chain of advances in the theory of automorphic forms and analytic number theory.

In a different direction, it is proved by Ramakrishnan in [Ram] that for a Maass form ϕ as above, this conjecture (i.e., $|\alpha_p| = |\beta_p| = 1$) is true for (unramified) primes with the lower *Dirichlet density* at least $9/10$. This lower Dirichlet density is later improved to $34/35$ in [KSh]. For simplicity the primes at which the Ramanujan conjecture holds are referred as the *Ramanujan primes* of ϕ, so the Ramanujan conjecture is equivalent to the statement that all (unramified) primes are Ramanujan primes of ϕ. Note that the method in [Ram] (and [KSh]) is ineffective, and does not provide any quantitative bound, for example, for the occurrence of the least Ramanujan prime for a given Maass form ϕ.

The main purpose of this paper is to show that the least Ramanujan prime of ϕ is bounded by $\big(N(1+|t_\phi|)\big)^c$ for some constant $c > 0$, and in fact we can prove a 'subconvexity' bound with $c < 1$ (see Section 2 and Section 3 below). Indeed, such a result would be a direct consequence of a still open subconvexity bound for automorphic L-functions on $GL(3)$ in the eigenvalue aspect. Furthermore, the Lindelöf hypothesis (a consequence of the Riemann Hypothesis) for the adjoint L-function of ϕ (see (1) below) would imply that the exponent $c > 0$ could be taken arbitrarily small.

Our approach is based upon the following simple yet crucial observation that if an unramified prime p is *not* a Ramanujan prime of ϕ, then (see Lemma 1.1 below) $\lambda_\phi(p^{2i})\overline{\chi_\phi}(p^i) > 2i+1$ for all $i \geq 1$, where χ_ϕ is the central character of ϕ. Thus the following adjoint (square) L-function associated to ϕ comes into play (see [GJ]),

$$L(s, \mathrm{Ad}\ \phi) \ = \frac{L(s, \phi \times \overline{\phi})}{\zeta(s)} \ = \zeta^{(N)}(2s) \ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\chi_\phi}(n)\lambda_\phi(n^2)n^{-s}, \tag{1}$$

where $\zeta^{(N)}(s)$, as usual, stands for the partial zeta function with local factors at $p|N$ removed from $\zeta(s)$. Then naturally we can relate our goal of bounding the least unramified Ramanujan prime for Maass form ϕ to the sieving idea in the work [IKS] (as well as its further refinements in [KLSW] and [Mat]), which study the first negative Hecke eigenvalue for a holomorphic Hecke eigenform based on the Deligne's resolution of Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture in the case of elliptic modular forms.

It turns out that the sieving idea in [IKS] (also in [KLSW] and [Mat]) works well in the current quite different setting, even though the Deligne-type bound is not available yet for Maass form ϕ.

We present two proofs with different exponents c. The first proof (Section 2) illustrates our basic ideas via the simple case of level 1. The second proof obtains significantly better (smaller) exponent c. In Section 4, we refine the density results in [Ram] and [KSh] from the Dirichlet density to the natural density.

We end the Introduction by stating the following Lemma 1.1, which will be used in the proofs of the following sections, and a part of it is also an ingredient in [Ram].

Lemma 1.1. *Let $\{\alpha_p, \beta_p\}$ denote the Satake parameters at $p \nmid N$ of a primitive Hecke-Maass cusp form ϕ for $\Gamma_0(N)$ with Dirichlet character χ_ϕ. Then the Satake parameters at p for $L(s, \mathrm{Ad}\ \phi)$ are given by $\{\alpha_p/\beta_p,\ 1,\ \beta_p/\alpha_p\}$. For any unramified $p \nmid N$, we have*

$$|\lambda_\phi(p)|^2 = \lambda_\phi^2(p)\overline{\chi_\phi}(p) = \lambda_\phi(p^2)\overline{\chi_\phi}(p) + 1\ .$$

In particular $\lambda_\phi(p^2)\overline{\chi_\phi}(p)$ is real and $\lambda_\phi(p^2)\overline{\chi_\phi}(p) \geq -1$. If p is not a Ramanujan prime of ϕ, i.e., $|\alpha_p/\beta_p| \neq 1$, then we have $|\lambda_\phi(p)| > 2$ and α_p/β_p is real and > 0 and for $n \geq 0$

$$\lambda_\phi(p^{2n})\overline{\chi_\phi}(p^n) \;=\; \frac{\left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_p}{\beta_p}}\right)^{2n+1} - \left(\sqrt{\frac{\beta_p}{\alpha_p}}\right)^{2n+1}}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_p}{\beta_p}} - \sqrt{\frac{\beta_p}{\alpha_p}}} > d(p^{2n}) = 2n+1,$$

where d is the divisor function.

Proof. The first assertion follows from the definition of $L(s, \mathrm{Ad}\ \phi)$ and the fact that the Satake parameters at p for the contragredient form $\overline{\phi}$ are $\{\alpha_p^{-1},\ \beta_p^{-1}\}$. For $p \nmid N$, we have

$$\lambda_\phi(p) = \chi_\phi(p)\overline{\lambda_\phi(p)}.$$

By Hecke relation, we have $\lambda_\phi(p^2) = \lambda_\phi(p)^2 - \chi_\phi(p)$. Then we have $\lambda_\phi(p^2)\overline{\chi_\phi}(p) = \lambda_\phi(p)^2\overline{\chi_\phi}(p) - 1 = \lambda_\phi(p)\overline{\lambda_\phi(p)} - 1$ and obviously $\lambda_\phi(p^2)\overline{\chi_\phi}(p)$ is real and ≥ -1.

For $p \nmid N$, we have

$$\alpha_p + \beta_p = \lambda_\phi(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_p\beta_p = \chi_\phi(p).$$

Then we get

$$\frac{\alpha_p}{\beta_p} + \frac{\beta_p}{\alpha_p} = |\lambda_\phi(p)|^2 - 2 \geq -2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha_p}{\beta_p} \cdot \frac{\beta_p}{\alpha_p} = 1.$$

The pair $\{\alpha_p/\beta_p, \beta_p/\alpha_p\}$ are the roots of the quadratic equation

$$X^2 - (|\lambda_\phi(p)|^2 - 2)X + 1 = 0.$$

If $p \nmid N$ is not a Ramanujan prime of ϕ, i.e., $|\alpha_p/\beta_p| \neq 1$, this implies that $\{\alpha_p/\beta_p, \beta_p/\alpha_p\}$ are two real positive distinct roots. Because their product is 1, one of them is > 1 and the other is < 1. Also, we have $|\lambda_\phi(p)| > 2$. From

$$\lambda_\phi(p^n) = \frac{\alpha_p^{n+1} - \beta_p^{n+1}}{\alpha_p - \beta_p} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_p\beta_p = \chi_\phi(p),$$

we get the last assertion. □

2 Hecke-Maass cusp forms of level 1

In this section, to illustrate quickly and clearly the main ideas of this paper, we consider the simplest case of level 1. Thus ϕ is a Hecke-Maass cusp form for $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$, with the Laplacian eigenvalue $\lambda_\phi = 1/4 + t_\phi^2$ and the n-th Hecke eigenvalue $\lambda_\phi(n)$. The goal of this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let ϕ be a Hecke-Maass cusp form for $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ as above. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a prime p such that $|\lambda_\phi(p)| \leq 2$ and $p \ll t_\phi^{8/11+\epsilon}$, where the implied constant depends on $\epsilon > 0$ alone.*

Remark 2.2. It is clear from the proof that the same argument is in fact still valid for any primitive Hecke-Maass cusp form ϕ on $\Gamma_o(N)$ with the central character χ_ϕ, by simply replacing $\lambda_\phi(p^2)$ by $\lambda_\phi(p^2)\overline{\chi_\phi}(p)$.

Proof. Assume p is not a Ramanujan prime of ϕ for all primes $p \leq y$. Then by the Lemma 1.1 we have $\lambda_\phi(d^2) > 3$ for $1 < d \leq y$. Take $x = yz$ and $z = y^\delta$ with $0 < \delta < 1/2$. Consider the sum

$$S(x) = \sum_{d<x} \lambda_\phi(d^2) \log \frac{x}{d} = S^+(x) + S^-(x),$$

where $S^+(x)$ and $S^-(x)$ denote the partial sums over the positive and negative eigenvalues $\lambda_\phi(d^2)$ respectively.

If $\lambda_\phi(d^2) < 0$ in $S^-(x)$, then $d = mp$ with $\lambda_\phi(m^2) > 0$, $\lambda_\phi(p^2) < 0$, where all the prime divisors of m do not exceed y, and $p > y$. From $\lambda_\phi(p^2) = \lambda_\phi^2(p) - 1 \geq -1$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} S^-(x) &= \sum_{\substack{pm<x,\ p>y,\\ \lambda_\phi(p^2)<0}} \lambda_\phi((pm)^2) \log\left(\frac{x}{pm}\right) \\ &\geq -\sum_{m<z} \lambda_\phi(m^2) \sum_{p \leq x/m} \log\left(\frac{x}{pm}\right) \\ &\geq -\left(\sum_{m<z} \frac{\lambda_\phi(m^2)}{m}\right) \frac{x}{\log y} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log y}\right)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

in view of the asymptotics

$$\pi(x) \log x - \sum_{p \leq x} \log p = \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right),$$

by the Prime Number Theorem (see [Pra]).

Next we bound $S^+(x)$. By positivity,

$$\begin{aligned} S^+(x) &\geq \sum_{m<z} \lambda_\phi(m^2) \sum_{\substack{l<x/m\\ p|l \Rightarrow z<p\leq y}} \lambda_\phi(l^2) \log\left(\frac{x}{lm}\right) \\ &\geq 3 \sum_{m<z} \lambda_\phi(m^2) \Phi'(x/m, y, z), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where

$$\Phi'(X, Y, Z) = \sum_{\substack{1<l<X\\ p|l \Rightarrow Z<p\leq Y}} \log\left(\frac{X}{l}\right).$$

Lemma 2.3. *If Z is large, $Z < Y$ and $Y < X \leq YZ$, then*

$$\Phi'(X, Y, Z) > \frac{X}{2\log Z} - \frac{X}{\log Y} + O\left(\frac{Z \log Y}{\log Z} + \frac{X}{\log^2 Z}\right).$$

Proof. Define

$$\Phi(X,Y,Z) = \sum_{\substack{1<l<X \\ p|l \Rightarrow Z<p\le Y}} 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(X,Z) = \sum_{\substack{1<l<X \\ p|l \Rightarrow Z<p}} 1.$$

Then we have

$$\Phi'(X,Y,Z) = \int_Y^X \Phi(t,Y,Z)\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} + \int_Z^Y \Phi(t,Z)\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t}.$$

For $Y < t \le YZ$, it is easy to see that

$$\Phi(t,Y,Z) = \Phi(t,Z) - \Phi(t,Y).$$

Recall the asymptotic formula of $\Phi(X,Z)$, $X \ge Z \ge 2$ (see Theorem 3, p. 400, [Ten])

$$\Phi(X,Z) = \omega\left(\frac{\log X}{\log Z}\right)\frac{X}{\log Z} - \frac{Z}{\log Z} + O\left(\frac{X}{\log^2 Z}\right), \tag{4}$$

where $\omega(u)$ is the Buchstab function, that is the continuous solution to the difference-differential equation

$$u\omega(u) = 1 \quad (1 \le u \le 2),$$
$$(u\omega(u))' = \omega(u-1) \quad (u > 2).$$

Moreover the range of the Buchstab function is $1/2 \le \omega(u) \le 1$. We infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi'(X,Y,Z) &= \int_Z^X \Phi(t,Z)\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} - \int_Y^X \Phi(t,Y)\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} \\
&\ge \int_Z^X \left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{t}{\log Z} - \frac{Z}{\log Z}\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} - \int_Y^X \left(\frac{t}{\log Y} - \frac{Y}{\log Y}\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} + O\left(\frac{X}{\log^2 Z}\right) \\
&\ge \frac{X}{2\log Z} - \frac{X}{\log Y} + O\left(\frac{Z\log Y}{\log Z} + \frac{X}{\log^2 Z}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3. □

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\Phi'(x/m,y,z) > \left(\frac{1}{2\delta} - 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log y}\right)\right)\frac{x}{m\log y},$$

and

$$S^+(x) > \left(\frac{3}{2\delta} - 3 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log y}\right)\right)\left(\sum_{m<z}\frac{\lambda_\phi(m^2)}{m}\right)\frac{x}{\log y}$$

from (3). Consequently, after combining with the lower bound of $S^-(x)$ in (2), we deduce that

$$S(x) > \left(\frac{3}{2\delta} - 4 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log y}\right)\right)\left(\sum_{m<z}\frac{\lambda_\phi(m^2)}{m}\right)\frac{x}{\log y}.$$

Therefore

$$S(x) \gg \frac{x}{\log x}, \tag{5}$$

on choosing $\delta = 3/8 - \epsilon$, provided $y \gg 1$.

Now for $\sigma > 1$ and any $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(x) &= \sum_{d<x} \lambda_\phi(d^2) \log\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} \frac{L(s, \mathrm{Ad}\ \phi)}{\zeta(2s)} \frac{x^s}{s^2}\, \mathrm{d}s \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(1/2)} \frac{L(s, \mathrm{Ad}\ \phi)}{\zeta(2s)} \frac{x^s}{s^2}\, \mathrm{d}s \\ &\ll t_\phi^{1/2+\eta} x^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

by shifting the line of integration to $\Re(s) = 1/2$ and applying the convexity bound for $L(s, \mathrm{Ad}\ \phi)$ on the critical line.

Comparing (5) and (6), we obtain

$$x = y^{1+\delta} \ll t_\phi^{1+2\eta},$$

i.e.

$$y \ll t_\phi^{8/11+\epsilon},$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

Remark 2.4. A hypothetical subconvexity bound of $L(s, \mathrm{Ad}\ \phi)$ in the eigenvalue aspect on the critical line $\Re(s) = 1/2$ would yield

$$L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \mathrm{Ad}\ \phi) \ll t_\phi^{1/2-\delta} t^{3/4+\epsilon},$$

for some $\delta > 0$. It is clear that this in turn would immediately lead to $y \ll t_\phi^{1-2\delta}$.

3 Refinement and Generalization

In this section we refine the approach in Section 2 to obtain a better exponent. The method employs the theory of multiplicative functions.

Let ϕ be a primitive Hecke-Maass cusp form for $\Gamma_o(N) \subset \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ with Dirichlet character $\chi_\phi : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^* \to \mathbb{C}$. It has Laplacian eigenvalue $1/4 + t_\phi^2$ with the parameter t_ϕ lying in $\mathbb{R} \cup [-7i/64, 7i/64]$. We assume that ϕ is not of dihedral type, otherwise the full Ramanujan conjecture is known. The standard L-function of ϕ is given by

$$L(s, \phi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_\phi(n)}{n^s},$$

where $\lambda_\phi(n)$'s are normalized Hecke eigenvalues with $\lambda_\phi(1) = 1$ and $T_n \phi = \lambda_\phi(n)\phi$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Our main tool is the adjoint L-function of ϕ mentioned in the Introduction and Lemma 1.1

$$L(s, \mathrm{Ad}\ \phi) = \zeta^{(N)}(2s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_\phi(n^2)\overline{\chi_\phi}(n)}{n^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_\phi(n)}{n^s},$$

where $A_\phi(n) = \sum_{k^2|n} \lambda_\phi(n^2/k^4)\overline{\chi_\phi(n/k^2)}$ for $(n, N) = 1$. As in [IS], we denote the analytic conductor by

$$Q = Q(\mathrm{Ad}\ \phi).$$

We have

$$Q(\mathrm{Ad}\ \phi) \leq N^2(1 + |t_\phi|)^2.$$

Lemma 1.1 implies that for a prime $p \nmid N$ then $A_\phi(p)$ is real and ≥ -1. It also implies $A_\phi(p) > 3$ if p is not a Ramanujan prime of ϕ, i.e., $|\lambda_\phi(p)| > 2$.

Let us assume that p is not a Ramanujan prime of ϕ for all $p \leq y$ and $p \nmid N$. Thus we have $A_\phi(p) > 3$ for all $p \leq y$. Define

$$S^\flat(x) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n,N)=1}}^{\flat} A_\phi(n) \log\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)$$

where the summation $\sum^\flat$ is taken over squarefree numbers.

Lemma 3.1. *We have*

$$S^\flat(x) \ll x^{3/4} Q^{1/8+\epsilon}.$$

Proof. Define

$$G(s) = \prod_{p \nmid N} \left(1 - \frac{A_\phi(p)}{p^s} + \frac{A_\phi(p)}{p^{2s}} - \frac{1}{p^{3s}}\right)\left(1 + \frac{A_\phi(p)}{p^s}\right).$$

The analytic function $G(s)$ is absolutely convergent in $\{\Re(s) > 1/2 + \epsilon\}$, and uniformly bounded by Q^ϵ with any $\epsilon > 0$, in view of the Rankin-Selberg convolution of $\mathrm{Ad}\ \phi \times \mathrm{Ad}\ \phi$. Now

$$L(s, \mathrm{Ad}\ \phi)G(s) = \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ (n,N)=1}}^{\infty}{}^{\flat} \frac{A_\phi(n)}{n^s}$$

is absolutely convergent in $\{\Re(s) > 1\}$. For $c > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} S^\flat(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c)} L^{(N)}(s, \mathrm{Ad}\ \phi)G(s)\frac{x^s}{s^2}\, \mathrm{d}s \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3/4)} L^{(N)}(s, \mathrm{Ad}\ \phi)G(s)\frac{x^s}{s^2}\, \mathrm{d}s. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

By using the convexity bound

$$L(s, \mathrm{Ad}\ \phi) \ll_\epsilon (Qt^3)^{(1-\Re(s))/2+\epsilon},$$

we obtain $S^\flat(x) \ll x^{3/4} Q^{1/8+\epsilon}$. □

Define a multiplicative function supported on squarefree numbers with

$$h(p) = \begin{cases} 3, & p \le y, \\ -1, & p > y. \end{cases}$$

It extends to all squarefree numbers. For convenience, we define $h(n) = 0$ if n is not squarefree. Define

$$\mathfrak{S}^\flat(x) = \sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ (n,N)=1}}^{\flat} A_\phi(n).$$

Lemma 3.2. *If* $\sum_{\substack{n \le t \\ (n,N)=1}} h(n) \ge 0$ *for all* $t \le x$*, we have*

$$\mathfrak{S}^\flat(x) \ge \sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ (n,N)=1}} h(n). \tag{8}$$

Proof. The proof follows [KLSW]. Let us define a multiplicative function g defined by the Dirichlet convolution

$$A_\phi = h * g, \quad \text{or} \quad A_\phi(n) = \sum_{d|n} h(d) g\left(\frac{n}{d}\right).$$

We have $g(p) = A_\phi(p) - h(p) \ge 0$ for $p \nmid N$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}^\flat(x) &= \sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ (n,N)=1}}^{\flat} A_\phi(n) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ (n,N)=1}}^{\flat} \sum_{d|n} h(d) g\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d \le x \\ (d,N)=1}}^{\flat} g(d) \sum_{\substack{b \le x/d \\ (b,N)=1}} h(b) \\ &\ge \sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ (n,N)=1}} h(n) \end{aligned}$$

Both $g(d)$ and $\sum h(b)$ are non-negative. We have $g(1) = 1$ and hence this lemma is proved. □

Lemma 3.3. *If* $\sum_{\substack{n \le t \\ (n,N)=1}} h(n) \ge 0$ *for all* $t \le x$*, we have*

$$S^\flat(x) \ge \sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ (n,N)=1}} h(n) \log\left(\frac{x}{n}\right).$$

Proof. It follows from the formula

$$S^\flat(x) = \int_1^x \mathfrak{S}^\flat(t)\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t}$$

and Lemma 3.2. □

The following lemma evaluates the mean of the multiplicative function $h(n)$ over a long range $1 \le n \le x$ where x equals y^u for some $u > 1$. The special case of this lemma appears in [KLSW] and a more elaborate version is available in [Mat].

Lemma 3.4. *Let $U \ge 1$ and let $h(n)$ be as above. We have*

$$\sum_{\substack{n\le y^u\\(n,N)=1}} h(n) = c(N)(\sigma(u) + o_U(1))(\log y)^2 y^u$$

uniformly for $u \in [1/U, U]$, where $\lim_{y\to\infty} o_U(1) = 0$ and $c(N) = \left(\frac{\phi(N)}{N}\right)^3 \prod_{p\nmid N}(1-\frac{1}{p})^3(1+\frac{3}{p}) \gg (\log\log N)^{-3}$. The constant $\sigma(u)$ is the continuous function of $u \in (0,\infty)$ uniquely determined by the differential-difference equation

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(u) &= u^2, && 0 < u \le 1,\\ (u^{-2}\sigma(u))' &= -\frac{4\sigma(u-1)}{u^3}, && u > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In Lemma 6 of [Mat], take $K = 1$, $x_0 = 0$, $x_1 = 1$, $\chi_0 = 3$, $\chi_1 = -1$, $q = 1$. The function $\sigma(u)$ can be computed from Lemma 8 of [Mat]. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let $u_0 > 1$ be such that $\sigma(u) > 0$ for $1 < u \le u_0$. We have for $y \gg_{u_0} 1$,*

$$\sum_{\substack{n\le y^{u_0}\\(n,N)=1}} h(n)\log\left(\frac{y^{u_0}}{n}\right) \gg_{u_0} c(N)y^{u_0}.$$

Proof. Define

$$H(x) = \sum_{\substack{n\le x\\(n,N)=1}} h(n).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n\le y^{u_0}\\(n,N)=1}} h(n)\log\left(\frac{y^{u_0}}{n}\right) &= \int_1^{y^{u_0}} H(t)\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} = \int_0^{u_0} H(y^u)\log y\,\mathrm{d}u\\ &\ge \int_{1/u_0}^{u_0} H(y^u)\log y\,\mathrm{d}u \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.4, we have for $1/u_0 \le u \le u_0$ uniformly

$$H(y^u) = c(N)(\sigma(u) + o_{u_0}(1))(\log y)^2 y^u.$$

For $y \gg_{u_0} 1$, we hence have

$$\int_{1/u_0}^{u_0} H(y^u) \log y \, \mathrm{d}u \gg_{u_0} c(N) y^{u_0}$$

and this completes the proof. □

Let u_0 be the same as defined in Lemma 3.5. We have $c(N) \gg Q^{-\epsilon}$ for $\epsilon > 0$. Comparing Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.5, we infer that

$$y^{u_0} Q^{-\epsilon} \ll_{u_0} \sum_{\substack{n \leq y^{u_0} \\ (n,N)=1}} h(n) \log\left(\frac{y^{u_0}}{n}\right) \ll S^\flat(y^{u_0}) \ll (y^{u_0})^{3/4} Q^{1/8+\epsilon}$$

and this in turn gives

$$y \ll_{u_0} Q^{\frac{1}{2u_0}+\epsilon}. \tag{9}$$

By numerical computation of *Mathematica*, we find the smallest zero of $\sigma(u)$ is approximately 3.65887. Then taking u_0 to be microscopically less than 3.65887 we get:

Theorem 3.6. *For any primitive Hecke-Maass cusp form ϕ for $\Gamma_o(N)$ with character χ_ϕ and Laplace eigenvalue $1/4 + t_\phi^2$, there exists a prime number $p \nmid N$ with $p \ll (N(1+|t_\phi|))^{0.27332}$ such that the Ramanujan conjecture holds for ϕ at p.*

Remark 3.7. In Lemma 3.1, the line of integration in (7) may be taken on $\{\Re(s) = \sigma\}$ instead of $\{\Re(s) = 3/4\}$ for $1/2 < \sigma < 1$. This will result in a different version of Lemma 3.1, i.e.,

$$S^\flat(x) \ll x^\sigma Q^{(1-\sigma)/2+\epsilon}.$$

However, this change has no impact on the final exponent in Theorem 3.6.

Remark 3.8. To estimate the smallest zero of $\sigma(u)$ without numerical computation, we have from Lemma 3.4

$$\sigma(u) = 7u^2 - 8u + 2 - 4u^2 \log u$$

for $1 \leq u \leq 2$. It is not hard to prove that $\sigma(u)$ is monotone for $1 \leq u \leq 2$ and this leads us to conclude $\sigma(u)$ is positive for $1 \leq u \leq 2$. Without numerical computation, we can have $1/4$ as the exponent in Theorem 3.6.

For $2 \leq u \leq 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(u) = 16u^2 Li_2(1-u) + (4\pi^2 u^2)/3 + 35u^2 - 24u^2 \log(u-1) + 16u^2 \log(u-1)\log(u) \\ - 4u^2 \log(u) - 80u + 32u \log(u-1) - 8\log(u-1) + 34,\end{aligned}$$

where Li_2 is the famous dilogarithm function (see [Zag]). We leave to the reader to verify that $\sigma(u)$ is positive for $2 \leq u \leq 3$.

4 Natural Density of Ramanujan Primes

Let ϕ be a primitve Maass form for $\Gamma_o(N)$ with character χ_ϕ and with Hecke eigenvalues $\lambda_\phi(n)$, following the same notations of the previous sections. We assume that ϕ is not of Artin type, since otherwise the full Ramanujan conjecture is known ([KSh]).

In this section, we refine the density results of the Ramanujan primes in [Ram] and [KSh] from *Dirichlet density* to *natural density*. We achieve the same constant by employing a similar but different method. We will first quickly indicate how our method leads directly to the fact that the lower *natural density* of the Ramanujan primes of ϕ is at least $9/10$, and then improve it further to $34/35$ by a more elaborate argument.

The adjoint (Gelbart-Jacquet) lift (see [GJ]) of ϕ, with its L-function defined by $L(s, \text{Ad } \phi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_\phi(n)/n^s, \Re(s) > 1$ where $A_\phi(p) = \lambda_\phi(p^2)\overline{\chi_\phi}(p)$, is a cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL(3)$. The symmetric cube lift $\text{Sym}^3\phi$ and the twisted symmetric fourth power lift $\text{Sym}^4\phi \times \overline{\chi_\phi}^2$ are cuspidal automorphic representations of $GL(4)$ and $GL(5)$ respectively (see [KSh2] and [Ki]). Let

$$L(s, \text{Sym}^3\phi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_\phi^{[3]}(n)}{n^s} \quad \text{and} \quad L(s, \text{Sym}^4\phi \times \overline{\chi_\phi}^2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_\phi^{[4]}(n)}{n^s}$$

be their L-functions and $\{\alpha_p, \beta_p\}$ be the Satake parameters associated with ϕ at an unramified prime p. The Satake parameters of $\text{Sym}^3\phi$ are given by $\{\alpha_p^3, \alpha_p^2\beta_p, \alpha_p\beta_p^2, \beta_p^3\}$, while those of $\text{Sym}^4\phi \times \overline{\chi_\phi}^2$ are given by $\{\alpha_p^2/\beta_p^2, \alpha_p/\beta_p, 1, \beta_p/\alpha_p, \beta_p^2/\alpha_p^2\}$.

In light of the standard zero-free region of $L(s, \text{Ad } \phi)$ and $L(s, \text{Sym}^4\phi \times \overline{\chi_\phi}^2)$, the following Prime Number Theorem for L-functions holds. (see Theorem 5.13 of [IK]).

Lemma 4.1. *We have*

$$\sum_{p \le X} A_\phi(p) = o\left(\frac{X}{\log X}\right) \quad \textit{and} \quad \sum_{p \le X} A_\phi^{[4]}(p) = o\left(\frac{X}{\log X}\right),$$

as $X \to \infty$.

For the result on the natural density, let us first consider the sum

$$S(X) = \sum_{p \le X} (1 + 3A_\phi(p))^2.$$

On one hand, we have

$$S(X) > 10^2 \#\{p \le X, |\lambda_\phi(p)| > 2\}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(X) &= \sum_{p \le X} (1 + 6A_\phi(p) + 9A_\phi(p)^2) \\ &= \sum_{p \le X} (1 + 6A_\phi(p) + 9(A_\phi^{[4]}(p) + A_\phi(p) + 1)) \\ &= \sum_{p \le X} (10 + 15A_\phi(p) + 9A_\phi^{[4]}(p)) \\ &= 10\pi(X) + o(\pi(X)), \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.1. Hence we get

$$\#\{p \leq X, |\lambda_\phi(p)| > 2\} \leq \frac{1}{10}\pi(X) + o(\pi(X)),$$

or equivalently

$$\#\{p \leq X, |\lambda_\phi(p)| \leq 2\} \geq \frac{9}{10}\pi(X) + o(\pi(X)),$$

i.e., the lower *natural density* of the Ramanujan primes of ϕ is at least $9/10$.

Next we turn to the improvement of the above density result. A zero-free region of Rankin-Selberg L-functions has been established by Moreno in [Mor]. By the Tauberian theorem of Wiener and Ikehara (Theorem 1, page 311, [Lan]) for $L'/L(s)$, where $L(s) = L(s, \Pi \times \overline{\Pi})$, and $\Pi = \mathrm{Sym}^3\phi$ or $\mathrm{Sym}^4\phi$, we obtain the Prime Number Theorem for $L(s)$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let Λ be the von Mangoldt function. We have*

$$\sum_{n\leq X} \Lambda(n)|A_\phi^{[3]}(n)|^2 \sim X \quad \textit{and} \quad \sum_{n\leq X} \Lambda(n)|A_\phi^{[4]}(n)|^2 \sim X,$$

as $X \to \infty$.

Remark 4.3. The previous lemma implies

$$\limsup_{X\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{p\leq X} |A_\phi^{[4]}(p)|^2}{\pi(X)} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{X\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{p\leq X} |A_\phi^{[3]}(p)|^2}{\pi(X)} \leq 1.$$

Theorem 4.4. *We have*

$$\liminf_{X\to\infty} \frac{\#\{p \leq X, |\lambda_\phi(p)| \leq 2\}}{\pi(X)} \geq \frac{34}{35}.$$

Proof. Define $U(p) = \left(1 + 3A_\phi(p) + 5A_\phi^{[4]}(p)\right)^2$. Obviously we have $U(p) \geq 0$ and if p is not a Ramanujan prime, we have

$$U(p) > 35^2.$$

By the Hecke relations

$$A_\phi(p)A_\phi^{[4]}(p) = |A_\phi^{[3]}(p)|^2 - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad A_\phi(p)^2 = A_\phi^{[4]}(p) + A_\phi(p) + 1,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(p) &= 1 + 9A_\phi(p)^2 + 25A_\phi^{[4]}(p)^2 + 6A_\phi(p) + 10A_\phi^{[4]}(p) + 30A_\phi(p)A_\phi^{[4]}(p) \\ &= -20 + 15A_\phi(p) + 19A_\phi^{[4]}(p) + 30|A_\phi^{[3]}(p)|^2 + 25A_\phi^{[4]}(p)^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the previous two lemmas, we have

$$\limsup_{X\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{p\leq X} U(p)}{\pi(X)} \leq 35. \tag{10}$$

We have

$$\frac{\sum_{p\leq X} U(p)}{\pi(X)} \geq \frac{35^2(\pi(X) - \#\{p \leq X, |\lambda_\phi(p)| \leq 2\})}{\pi(X)}$$

and then

$$\frac{\#\{p \leq X, |\lambda_\phi(p)| \leq 2\}}{\pi(X)} \geq 1 - \frac{\sum_{p\leq X} U(p)}{35^2\pi(X)}.$$

Hence by (10) we get $\liminf_{X\to\infty} \#\{p \leq X, |\lambda_\phi(p)| \leq 2\}/\pi(X) \geq 34/35$. □

References

[De1] Deligne, Pierre. "Formes modulaires et représentations l-adiques." In *Séminaire Bourbaki vol. 1968/69 Exposés 347-363*, pp. 139-172. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1971.

[De2] Deligne, Pierre. "La conjecture de Weil. I." *Publications Mathématiques de l'Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques* 43, no. 1 (1974): 273-307.

[GJ] Gelbart, Stephen, and Hervé Jacquet. "A relation between automorphic representations of GL(2) and GL(3)." *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.(4)* 11, no. 4 (1978): 471-542.

[IKS] Iwaniec, Henryk, Winfried Kohnen, and J. Sengupta. "The first negative Hecke eigenvalue." *International Journal of Number Theory* 3, no. 03 (2007): 355-363.

[IK] Iwaniec, Henryk, and Emmanuel Kowalski. *Analytic number theory*. Vol. 53. Providence: American Mathematical Society, 2004.

[IS] Iwaniec, Henryk, and Peter Sarnak. "Perspectives on the analytic theory of L-functions." In *Visions in Mathematics*, pp. 705-741. Birkhäuser Basel, 2010.

[Ki] Kim, Henry. "Functoriality for the exterior square of GL_4 and the symmetric fourth of GL_2." *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* 16, no. 1 (2003): 139-183.

[KS] Kim, Henry, and Peter Sarnak. "Refined estimates towards the Ramanujan and Selberg conjectures." *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* 16, no. 1 (2003): 175-181.

[KSh] Kim, Henry H., and Freydoon Shahidi. "Cuspidality of symmetric powers with applications." *Duke Mathematical Journal* 112, no. 1 (2002): 177-197.

[KSh2] Kim, Henry H., and Freydoon Shahidi. "Functorial products for $GL_2 \times GL_3$ and the symmetric cube for GL_2." *Annals of Mathematics* (2002): 837-893.

[KLSW] Kowalski, Emmanuel, Yuk Kam Lau, Kannan Soundararajan, and Jie Wu. "On modular signs." In *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, vol. 149, no. 3, pp. 389-411. Cambridge University Press, 2010.

[Lan] Lang, Serge. *Algebraic number theory*. second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 110, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.

[Mat] Matomäki, Kaisa. "On signs of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms." In *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, vol. 152, no. 02, pp. 207-222. Cambridge University Press, 2012.

[Mor] Moreno, Carlos J. "Analytic proof of the strong multiplicity one theorem." *American Journal of Mathematics* (1985): 163-206.

[Pra] Prachar, Karl. *Primzahlverteilung*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1957.

[Ram] Ramakrishnan, Dinakar. "On the coefficients of cusp forms." *Mathematical Research Letters* 4 (1997): 295-308.

[Ten] Tenenbaum, Gérald. *Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory*. Vol. 46. Cambridge University Press, 1995.

[Zag] Zagier, Don. "The dilogarithm function." In *Frontiers in number theory, physics, and geometry II*, pp. 3-65. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2007.

WENZHI LUO and FAN ZHOU
Department of Mathematics
The Ohio State University
Columbus, OH 43210, USA
wluo@math.ohio-state.edu, zhou.1406@math.osu.edu